

March 7, 2019

Re: Encroachment of structures from NE 25-59-10-W4, Lot 13, Block 2, Plan 7922083 onto Municipal Reserve Land Legally described as NE 25-59-10-W4, Lot 4ER, Block 2, Plan 7922083 (Vincent Lake)

Dear City Council Members of the County of St. Paul and Krystle Fedoretz:

First and foremost we would like to express our sincerest gratitude for Council's approval to enter into an encroachment agreement allowing us to keep the residence (with attached outdoor/covered kitchen) and shower in its existing position.

With your kindness and generosity in mind, we are asking (more so pleading) Council members to also consider allowing us to keep Shed #1 and the outhouse in its current position.

The outhouse is located in a hidden, leveled, wooded area which offers privacy. It was also in existence when the lots were purchased in 2007. Because of the hilly-landscape of our lots, if we were to move the outhouse, it would ultimately have to be placed in an open area, out in the elements (potentially easy to topple over with stronger winds) as well as offering very little privacy. Its current position is ideal for the purpose it serves.

The other property that we are asking Council to reconsider and allow us to keep on the Municipal Reserve entering into the encroachment agreement is shed #1 (vinyl & wood storage shed 12x16). When the lots were purchased in 2007, one of the first buildings that was built was the shed, which did receive permit approval on May 11, 2007 by Glen Zayne. Permit # 294294-07-D0046, file #07-065. The shed after the permit approval, was located where it stands today because of its convenience to the trailers, the fact that it stores the lawn mower, Quads, and all the tools necessary to upkeep the lots. Its current position is out of the way, not easily seen from the entrance to the property, and if it doesn't bother anyone, most importantly Council members, we ask that it too be added to the encroachment agreement.

To relocate this structure will not only be labour intensive, but also costly in excess of \$10, 000, whereas in 2007 it was built for \$3,500. The only area that could house (aside from its current location) is at the top of the hill, adjacent to the entrances of the lots, which is not the most convenient or eye pleasing to the area. The wood shed and lumber shed will be removed as soon as possible.

We are asking Council members to please allow us to keep the outhouse and shed #1 in their current locations entering into an encroachment agreement, which we will assume all associated surveying (Read Property Report (RPR), lawyer, as well as agreement costs.

Thank you sincerely for your consideration. Should you require us to speak to Council, we are more than willing to oblige.

Caroline Adamczuk-Sech, Grace and Stan Adamczuk.