

**From:** Sonia [<mailto:simplys7@telus.net>]

**Sent:** Thursday, December 20, 2012 9:11 PM

**To:** 'gockerman@county.stpaul.ab.ca:'; Dwight Dach; Cliff Martin; Maxine Fodness; Frank Sloan; Alphonse Corbiere; Steve Upham; Sheila Kitz

**Subject:** FW: Fence Posts on Reserve Land at Paziuk Subdivision

Further to my conversation with Maxine Fodness I am very disappointed that Council could not come up with a better solution to control public access on the environmental reserve at the Paziuk Subdivision.

If the County removes the posts that have been installed at the end of Launch road not only would it be a waste of tax dollars it will be clearly sending the message that the road is opened, and continues all the way down through the riparian area to the bed and shore and does not end at the original high water mark. This would be the only conclusion one could make entering the road, and that it is perfectly expectable to drive down to the lake shore.

By allowing de facto access all the way to the lake in this way I view this as being very poor stewardships on the part of the County.

Further more if this road is to remain opened, I expect the county to maintain it in the same manner and have the same responsibility and liability as for the other roads in the sub-division including safety, grading, repair, and snow removal.

On the subject of fence removal: If your going to remove fence post for free flow access then please ensure that all fence posts/fences are removed this would include but not limited to the following as per attached map:

1. The private fences that have been installed on what is now environmental reserve between Launch road and the concrete ramp at the county boat launch. This will allow free flow on launch road to the actual boat ramp and prevent vehicles from becoming stuck while trying to launch their boats at the lake shore.
2. The fence which goes all the way into the water across from the boat launch preventing access to the adjacent lake shore. (These land owner only own to the high water mark their property does not extend as the water recedes Public Lands Act 2.1, 3)
3. The fence posts that are installed between Stillwater drive and range road 112A into Santé Hills sub-division this is a liability issue as the road is marked on GPS settings and emergency Vehicles have already had to turn around and drive back to township Road 564 to get access.

I strongly suggest that council rethink their position on this matter and would like to speak to it at the next council meeting Jan 15, 2013.

I enclose maps of the area for information as well as some publications for consideration

Let me know if this can be added to the next meetings agenda or a subsequent one if need be.